



Journal of Co-operative and Business Studies (JCBS)
Vol. 6, Issue 1, April 2021 ISSN: (Online) 2714-2043, (Print) 0856-9037
Full Issue and Text Available at: <http://www.mocu.ac.tz>

THE INFLUENCE OF FOREIGN DIRECT INVESTMENT (FDI) INFLOWS ON MANUFACTURED EXPORTS IN TANZANIA: AN AUTOREGRESSIVE DISTRIBUTED LAG (ARDL) ASSESSMENT

Ismail J. Ismail¹ and Francis Lwesya²

¹Business Administration and Management, College of Business and Economics
The University of Dodoma-Tanzania. Email: ismailjismail1977@gmail.com

²Business Administration and Management, College of Business and Economics
The University of Dodoma-Tanzania. Email: flwesya@yahoo.com

ABSTRACT

The industrialisation and export promotion agenda has recently gained prominence in many African countries as channels towards the path of structural transformation. Following increasing Foreign Direct Investment (FDI) inflows in Tanzania, this article uses an Autoregressive Distributed Lag (ARDL) technique to explore the association between FDI inflows and real manufactured exports for a period of 1980-2018. The findings show a significant association between FDI inflows and manufactured exports in both periods in Tanzania. Similarly, the estimated error correction coefficient is negative and significant at a one percent level. This suggests that all the variables are co-integrated in the long run. However, variables such as trade openness and real effective exchange rate recorded insignificant association with real manufactured exports in the short run. The findings highlight the need to attract more export-oriented FDIs to spur competitiveness and increase exports of manufactured products. This will be possible by increasing trade openness, revisiting investment policies and instituting macroeconomic stability policies.

Keywords: Real Manufactured Exports, FDI inflows, ARDL JEL Classification: C33, F23, O17, O24

1.0 INTRODUCTION

Over the past three decades, many African countries have been emphasizing attracting Foreign Direct Investment (FDI) in their economies as one of the paths to stimulating industrialisation, exports and economic growth (Fintan, 2014; Mbelle, 2016; Gamariel and Hove, 2019; Kaplinsky and Morris, 2019). FDI can play a role in driving industrialisation by facilitating productivity in the manufacturing sector, leading to the creation of more employment opportunities, expanding local incomes and bringing about foreign currency via the export of produced commodities, thus generating government revenue and supporting overall economic development. Therefore, governments attempt to promote FDI inflows to incite industrialisation and promote their businesses to increase exports (Doaei and Hassani, 2010). However, the contents and category of African produced products remain to be of primary commodities and entrenched in low technologies (Mbelle, 2016; Gamariel and Hove, 2019). This situation has lowered the competitiveness of African products in global markets, thereby hindering the transformation that the export sector was expected to bring in African economies.

Nonetheless, FDI can bring about more efficient production systems, help in upgrading of production technologies and improve access to new and more competitive markets (Alfaro, 2003; Moran, 2005; Kulger, 2006; Apergis et al., 2007; Hailu, 2010; Kotrajaras, 2010; Zhang, 2015; Kaplinsky and Morris, 2019). This is because FDI brings along new expertise, capital and technologies that can support industrial development processes, promote the growth of the export sector and the resulting overall economic



Journal of Co-operative and Business Studies (JCBS)
Vol. 6, Issue 1, April 2021 ISSN: (Online) 2714-2043, (Print) 0856-9037
Full Issue and Text Available at: <http://www.mocu.ac.tz>

development in Africa. Hailu (2010) and Epaphra (2016) show that FDI makes it possible for African countries to get capital for investment, increase employment opportunities, acquire managerial skills, knowledge, and technological upgrading through the transfer of modern technologies and help with the integration of domestic economy into the global economy.

Consequently, many African countries have now established agencies that deal with investment promotion and have adopted economic policies anchored on attracting FDI in their economies by putting in place appropriate macroeconomic stability policies and investment incentive schemes over time. This mission is also reflected in various regional and continental initiatives such as the 2008 Plan of Action for the Accelerated Industrial Development for Africa (AIDA) and, more recently, the agenda 2063 (Chidede, 2017).

Studies show that many African countries have registered increases in FDI inflows over the years (Dupasquier and Osakwe, 2005; Sutton *et al.*, 2016; Ndikumana and Sarr, 2016; Gamariel and Hove, 2019). For instance, the case of Tanzania during the period of 2000-2011 received a total of \$6 billion of FDI compared with less than \$2 million received during 1986-1991. Since then, the annual inflows of FDI have continued to increase year after year. The recent data show that FDI inflows in Tanzania rose by 46.4 percent to USD 1,799.6 million in 2012 from USD 1,229.4 million recorded in 2011, making Tanzania the leading destination in the East African Community in 2012. Moreover, FDI inflows reached USD 1.1 billion in 2018 (Tanzania Investment Report, 2013; World Investment Report, 2019). The activities which attracted more FDI inflows were mining and quarrying, manufacturing, gas and electricity, and finance and insurance over the past ten years.

This success is linked to the reforms in the business environment that Tanzania has put in place over the years. However, there are concerns that despite the relatively massive size of FDI inflows in the manufacturing sector in Tanzania, there is little evidence in terms of its trickle-down effects in areas like better local economic integration, enhanced manufactured exports and overall economic development (Dominician, 2008; Page, 2015; Mbelle, 2016). These views contest the widely held ideas in the literature, which claim that the manufacturing sector can expand production, enhance exports and boost economic growth when productivity levels are enhanced and stimulated in the industry. However, Dinh and Monga (2013), UNIDO (2013), and UNIDO (2014) maintain that there is more significant potential to increase FDI inflows as well as maximising its effects among various sectors in the local economy. Nevertheless, for this to happen in Tanzania, Moyo *et al.*, (2012) indicated that the manufacturing sector as a whole ought to grow two more times as quickly over the next 15 years if the country was to transition to the middle-income status by 2025.

One of the preconditions to attracting FDI is having a favorable business environment. Tanzania has continued to implement vigorous measures to position Tanzania as a striking investment hub through legal and regulatory reforms for small and large-scale enterprises (World Investment Report, 2019). The FDIs that come to Tanzania are undertaken in different forms and motives. There are FDIs driven by market and export target FDIs. In terms of the market, Tanzania is a member of several regional blocs such as the East African Community (EAC) and Southern Africa Development Community (SADC). These forms huge markets for products produced in Tanzania. Export target FDIs mainly seek lower production costs sites. They aim to enhance competitiveness by locating in areas where cheap but skilled labor can easily access raw materials and infrastructure support like what is found in export processing zones and economic zones. Countries like South Africa, Canada and the United Kingdom are the leading sources of FDIs in Tanzania.



The research evidence on the relationship between FDI and manufactured export performance is mixed. For example, the successes of the East and Southeast Asian countries suggest that FDI was an essential factor in export promotion (Nayyar, 1983; Lall and Mohammed, 1983; Will, 1992; Haddad *et al.*, 1996; Zhang, 2006 and Gamariel and Hove, 2019). However, some studies did not find any significant impact of FDI on manufactured export performance, for instance, Jeon (1992), Sharma (2000), and Sharma (2003).

Few studies have explored the link between FDI and exports in Tanzania. This includes studies of Fintan (2014) and Mbelle (2016). Fintan (2014) investigated the long-run relationship between FDI and export performance using co-integration analysis. His findings show that there is long-run bi-directional causality between FDI and export. On the other hand, Mbelle (2016) examined the manufacturing and transformation in a developing country context. He explored the role of Tanzania's Manufacturing sector in delivering change in the context of evolving global development paradigms and how national policy has influenced its performance. The findings show a positive relationship between FDI and the value of manufacturing exports.

The current study differs from the previous one in four ways. Firstly, it focused on the FDI inflows in the manufacturing sector and manufactured export linkages. Secondly, it takes stock of the recent policy shifts favoring the manufacturing industry and assesses if it has had any significant impact on manufactured exports. Thirdly, it examines FDI inflows and manufactured exports and other factors necessary for enhancing export competitiveness, such as real effective exchange rate and trade liberalisation. Fourthly, unlike the previous studies, this paper uses Autoregressive Distributed Lag (ARDL). ARDL examines the long-run relationship of the variables irrespective of their level of cointegration and it helps to identify the cointegrating vectors, i.e., each of the underlying variables stands as a single long-run equation. This gives realistic and efficient estimates (Nkoro and Uko, 2016).

2.0 METHODOLOGY

2.1 Data Description

This study covers the period between 1980–2018. We use data from Tanzania Investment Centre (TIC), Bank of Tanzania (BOT), United Nations Conference on Trade and Development (UNCTAD), World Investment reports, and World Bank. FDI data was sourced from Tanzania Investment Centre (TIC) and United Nations Conference on Trade and Development (UNCTAD). Manufactured exports and real effective exchange rate data were sourced from the Bank of Tanzania (BOT).

2.2 Regression Model Specification

The regression model is given as follows:

$$\ln EXPM_t = \alpha + \alpha_2 \ln FDIM_t + \alpha_3 \ln REER_t + \alpha_4 \ln OPENNESS_t + \varepsilon_t \dots \dots (1)$$

Where:

$\ln EXPM$ = Real Manufactured Export; Captures Tanzania's manufactured exports performance.

$\ln FDIM$ = Foreign Direct Investment in the Manufacturing sector; Foreign Direct Investment (FDI) in the manufacturing sector. FDI is a channel of transferring capital, technology, information, and relevant skills and knowledge from one country into another country. It represents an intention to manage and influence foreign firms' operations. The existing comparative advantages in a country, such as cheap but skilled labour, raw materials, etc., are then exploited through trade (Virman, 2004).



lnREER = Real Effective Exchange Rate; A real effective exchange rate captures appreciation or depreciation of exchange rate. This represents price competitiveness in international markets and is included to ascertain its influence on manufactured exports.

lnOPENNESS = Represents the trade liberalisation index; Trade Openness (Openness). Trade openness captures the level of trade liberalisation in a country. “Is the sum of imports and exports normalized by GDP” It measures the degree to which a country is engaged in a global trading system by promoting the efficient allocation of resources through comparative advantage and enhanced competition in domestic and international markets. This implies that openness is expected to have a positive impact on manufactured exports

2.3 Testing Hypothesis

H₁: There is a significant positive relationship between manufactured exports and FDI inflows in the manufacturing sector

H₂: There is a significant positive relationship between manufactured exports and real effective exchange rate

H₃: There is a significant positive relationship between manufactured exports and trade openness

2.4. Bound Testing Approach

The paper uses the bounds technique, which was developed by Pesaran *et al.*, (2001), which allows a mixture of I(1) and I(0) variables as regressors. Using this technique, the order of integration variables may not necessarily be the same.

2.5 ARDL Model Specifications

The study uses the bounds testing approach to analyse the influence of FDI inflows on manufactured exports in Tanzania. The study uses this approach because it does not require pre-testing for the order of integration of each variable of interest, and yet, it can be used to examine the long-run influence of FDI inflows on EXPM irrespective of whether the underlying regressors are I (0) or I (1). Another usefulness of the bounds testing approach is that it is robust in capturing long-run relationships with small sample sizes, so it is relevant to this study since it covers 40 observations. According to Pesaran *et al.*, (2001), in an ARDL (p,q) model of the equation of unrestricted error correction, we develop the vector autoregression (VAR) of order *p*, denoted VAR (*p*) as follows:

$$Z_t = \mu + \sum_{i=1}^p \beta_i z_{t-i} + \varepsilon_t \dots\dots\dots (2)$$

Where z_t is the vector of both x_t and y_t , where y_t is the dependent variable defined as real manufactured exports x_t is the vector-matrix which represents a set of explanatory variables, i.e., Foreign Direct Investment (FDI), Real Effective Exchange Rate (REER), Trade Openness (OPENNESS) and t is a time or trend variable. According to Pesaran *et al.* (2001), y_t it must be I(1) variable, but the regressor x_t can be either I(0) or I(1). We further develop a vector error correction model (VECM) as follows:



$$\Delta z_t = \mu + \alpha t + \lambda z_{t-1} + \sum_{i=1}^{p-i} \gamma_t \Delta y_{t-i} + \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \gamma_t \Delta x_{t-i} + \varepsilon_t \dots\dots\dots (3)$$

Where Δ is the first-difference operator. The long-run multiplier matrix λ is as follows:

$$\lambda = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_{YY} & \lambda_{YX} \\ \lambda_{XY} & \lambda_{XX} \end{bmatrix}$$

The diagonal elements of the matrix are unrestricted, so the selected series can be either I(0) or I(1). If $\lambda_{YY} = 0$, then Y is I(1). In contrast, if $\lambda_{YY} < 0$, then Y is I(0). The co-integration test model is specified as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(\ln EXPM)_t &= \beta_0 + \beta_1(\ln EXPM)_{t-1} + \beta_2(\ln FDI)_{t-1} + \beta_3(\ln REER)_{t-1} \\ &+ \beta_4(\ln OPENNESS)_{t-1} + \sum_{i=1}^p \beta_{5i} \Delta(\ln EXPM)_{t-i} + \sum_{i=1}^p \beta_{6i} \Delta(\ln FDI)_{t-i} \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^p \beta_{7i} \Delta(\ln REER)_{t-i} + \sum_{i=1}^p \beta_{8i} \Delta(\ln OPENNESS)_{t-i} + \varepsilon_t \dots\dots\dots (4) \end{aligned}$$

Where:

- $\ln EXPM$ = Real Manufactured Export
- $\ln FDI$ = Foreign Direct Investment in the Manufacturing sector
- $\ln REER$ = Real Effective Exchange Rate
- $\ln OPENNESS$ = Represents the trade liberalisation index

After regression of equation (4), the Wald test (F -statistic) was computed to differentiate the long-run relationship between the concerned variables. The Wald test was carried out by imposing restrictions on the estimated long-run coefficients of $\ln EXPM$, $\ln FDI$, $\ln REER$ and $\ln OPENNESS$. The null and alternative hypotheses are as follows:

$$H_0 = \beta_1 = \beta_2 = \beta_3 = 0 \text{ (no long-run relationship)}$$

Against the alternative hypothesis

$$H_1 \neq \beta_1 \neq \beta_2 \neq \beta_3 \neq 0 \text{ (a long-run relationship exists)}$$

The computed F -statistic value will be evaluated with the critical values tabulated in Table CI (iii) of Pesaran et al. (2001). The lower bound critical values assumed that the explanatory variables x_t are integrated of order zero, or I (0). In contrast, the upper bound critical values assumed that x_t are integrated of order one, or I (1). Therefore, if the computed F -statistic is smaller than the lower bound value, then the null hypothesis is not rejected. We conclude that there is no long-run relationship between the variables. Conversely, if the computed F -statistic is greater than the upper bound value, then there is a long-run level relationship among the variables. On the other hand, if the computed F -statistic falls between the lower and upper bound values, the results are inconclusive. Once the long-run relationship has been identified, then the long-run and short-run estimates of the ARDL model can be obtained from



equation (4). Then we select the optimum lag in the ARDL modeling using Akaike Information Criterion (AIC). The model is specified as follows:

$$\ln EXPM = Const + \sum_{i=1}^p \beta_{1,t} \ln FDI_{t-i} + \sum_{i=1}^q \beta_{2,t} \ln REER_{t-i} + \sum_{i=1}^r \beta_{2,t} \ln OPENNESS_{t-i} + \varepsilon_t \dots \dots \dots (5)$$

Where: p,q,r = Optimal lag length used in the model
 ε = Residual

We identify a long-run steady point for the model, a residual from a long-run co-integration model. The long-run co-integration model is as follows:

$$\ln EXPM_t = \frac{Const}{1 - \sum_i^p \beta_{1,t}} + \frac{\sum_{i=0}^q \beta_{2,t}}{1 - \sum_{i=1}^p \beta_{1,t}} \ln FDI_t + \frac{\sum_{i=0}^r \beta_{3,t}}{1 - \sum_{i=1}^p \beta_{1,t}} \ln REER_t + \frac{\sum_{i=0}^r \beta_{4,t}}{1 - \sum_{i=1}^p \beta_{1,t}} \ln OPENNESS_t + \frac{\sum_{i=0}^s \beta_{5,t}}{1 - \sum_{i=1}^p \beta_{1,t}} + ECT_t \dots \dots \dots (6)$$

3.0 FINDINGS AND DISCUSSIONS

3.1 Unit Roots Tests

The stationarity status of all variables was tested as a prior step before proceeding with the ARDL bounds test. This was an important test to determine the order of integration. During this process, the augmented Dicky-Fuller and Phillips Perron unit root tests were applied.

Table 1: ADF Unit Root Test and Phillips Perron Unit Root Test

Variable	1%	5%	10%	ADF	Status	1st Difference
<i>lnEXPM</i>	-3.682	-2.972	-2.618	-1.880	I(1)	-3.526
<i>lnFDIM</i>	-3.682	-2.972	-2.618	-1.191	I(1)	-3.772
<i>lnREER</i>	-3.682	-2.972	-2.618	-5.444	I(0)	-
<i>lnOPENNESS</i>	-3.682	-2.972	-2.618	1.100	I(1)	-3.794
Phillips Perron Unit Root test						
Variable	1%	5%	10%	Z(t)	Status	1st Difference
<i>lnEXPM</i>	-3.682	-2.972	-2.618	-2.062	I(1)	-6.270
<i>lnFDIM</i>	-3.682	-2.972	-2.618	-1.260	I(1)	-4.465
<i>lnREER</i>	-3.682	-2.972	-2.618	-5.401	I(0)	-
<i>lnOPENNESS</i>	-3.682	-2.972	-2.618	0.790	I(1)	-4.353

The findings in Table 1 show that under the ADF unit root test, REER is stationary at a level while *lnFDIM*, *lnREER*, and *lnOPENNESS* became stationary after the first difference. Similarly, when the Phillips Perron unit root test was applied, *lnREER* was stationary at a level while *lnFDIM*, *lnREER*, and *lnOPENNESS* became stationary after taking their first difference.



3.2 Bounds F-Test for Co-integration

Table 2 provides the results of the ARDL Bounds F-statistics for the co-integration relationship. The results show that the computed F-statistics are greater than the upper bound critical values. Thus, the null hypothesis of no co-integration is rejected, suggesting a long-run relationship between *lnEXPM*, *lnFDI*, *lnREER*, and *lnOPENNESS*.

Table 2: Results of Bound Test

Computed F-statistics:	Critical Values	
6.641*, **, ***	Lower Bound	Upper Bound
1% Significance level	2.96	4.26
5% Significance level	2.32	3.50
10% Significance level	2.03	3.13

Notes: *, **, *** Indicates that computed statistic falls above the upper bound values at 1, 5, and 10 percent significance levels. The bond's critical values were obtained from Perasan et al. (2001, p 300), table: CI (iii) case III: Unrestricted intercept and no trend.

3.3 Long-run Estimates of ARDL Process

Since the co-integration relationship among the variables has been detected, equation four was estimated for the long-run coefficients using the optimum lags based on Akaike Information Criterion (AIC). The ARDL (1, 1, 1, 1) model is presented in Table 3. The results show the short-run and long-run positive and significant relationship between manufactured export and Foreign Direct Investment (FDI) at lag 1. Trade openness has a positive and significant long-run relationship with manufactured export, signifying that trade liberalisation is essential to enhance manufactured exports in Tanzania. Trade openness might have promoted manufactured export growth through efficient resource allocation and competition from local and international markets. On the other hand, the lagged real effective exchange rate coefficient recorded a positive but insignificant relationship with manufactured exports in the long run.

Table 3: Long run Estimates of ARDL

Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-Statistic	Prob.
C	0.434810	1.110494	0.391547	0.6992
D(lnEXPM(-1))	-0.059943	0.197622	-0.303322	0.7645
D(lnFDI)	0.003048	0.157376	0.019367	0.9847
D(lnFDI(-1))	0.507125	0.178678	2.838209	0.0096*
D(lnREER)	0.145934	0.211399	0.690328	0.4972
D(lnREER(-1))	-0.046709	0.204796	-0.228075	0.8217
D(lnOPENNESS)	-0.148188	0.461834	-0.320869	0.7513
D(lnOPENNESS(-1))	-0.440162	0.364344	-1.208092	0.2398
lnEXPM(-1)	0.693351	0.215731	3.213966	0.0040*
lnFDI(-1)	0.346253	0.080354	4.309074	0.0003*
lnREER(-1)	0.398593	0.255844	1.557954	0.1335
lnOPENNESS(-1)	0.314165	0.081130	3.872348	0.0008*

(* , **) Significance at 1%, 5%

3.4. Short-run Dynamics of ADRL Process

Table 4 presents short-run dynamics coefficients associated with the long-run relationships from the ARDL equation (ARDL-ECM). The optimal lag length for the selected error correction is ADRL (3,1,1,1) by the Akaike Information Criterion (AIC). The short-run diagnostic tests were performed using the Breusch Godfrey Serial correlation test, heteroscedasticity test, Cusum test, and Jarque bera. The results show the validity and reliability of the ARDL- ECM Short-run model. The model has passed all the diagnostic tests.



Table 4: Short-run estimates of ARDL
Dependent Variable: *DlnEXPM*

Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-Statistic	Prob.
C	-0.025584	0.052473	-0.487561	0.6303
<i>Dln</i> OPENNESS	-0.458769	0.395958	-1.158632	0.2580
<i>Dln</i> FDI	0.443885	0.180146	2.464036	0.0213**
<i>Dln</i> REER	-0.081491	0.133437	-0.610709	0.5471
ETC(-1)	-0.785498	0.213399	-3.680886	0.0011*
R-squared	0.498329	Serial Correlation LM Test (X^2)		0.656 (0.5884)
Adjusted R-squared	0.352008	Heteroscedasticity Test (X^2)		1.123 (0.3815)
F-statistic	3.405730	Durbin-Watson stat		2.067689
Prob(F-statistic)	0.011386	Jarque Bera		0.673 (0.7143)

Note: *, **,*** indicates significance levels at 1%, 5% and 10%

The findings in Table 4 show that the estimated error correction coefficient is negative and significant at one percent level. This confirms that all the variables are co-integrated, and the speed of adjustment towards the long-run equilibrium is at 78% annually. The variables such as trade openness and real effective exchange rate recorded insignificant values in the short run. However, there exists a short-run relationship between manufactured export and lagged FDI inflows and manufactured exports. This suggests that there exists an association between FDI inflows and manufactured exports in Tanzania.

4.0 CONCLUSION

This paper explored the link between Foreign Direct Investment (FDI) inflows and manufactured exports in Tanzania using An Autoregressive Distributed Lag (ARDL) from 1980 to 2018. The outcome shows a positive short-run and long-run association between FDI inflows in the manufacturing sector and manufactured exports in Tanzania. Furthermore, the estimated error correction coefficient is negative and significant at a one percent level. This suggests that all the variables examined, including real manufactured exports, trade openness, FDI inflows in the manufacturing sector, and real effective exchange rate, are co-integrated and have a long-run association. The speed of adjustment towards the long-run equilibrium is estimated to be 78% annually.

5.0 POLICY IMPLICATIONS

The study findings reported indicating that there is an association between FDI and manufactured exports in Tanzania. The results suggest that to stimulate increased manufactured exports, attracting FDIs that target the export sector will be essential. The findings highlight the need to formulate policies that aim to encourage more export-oriented FDIs inflow in Tanzania. This must go along with increasing trade openness, revisiting investment policies to direct more investments in the manufacturing sector by putting in place friendly legal and regulatory reforms and instituting macroeconomic stability policies. These measures will help to build a more sustainable value-added manufacturing sector in Tanzania that can withstand local, regional and global market competition.

REFERENCES

- Alfaro, L. (2003). Foreign Direct Investment and Growth: Does the Sector Matter? *Working Paper*, Harvard Business School.
- Apergis, N., Lyroudia, K., & A. Vamvakidis, (2007). The Relationship between Foreign Direct Investment and Economic Growth: Evidence from Transitional Countries, *Working Paper SSRN #990251*.



Journal of Co-operative and Business Studies (JCBS)

Vol. 6, Issue 1, April 2021 ISSN: (Online) 2714-2043, (Print) 0856-9037

Full Issue and Text Available at: <http://www.mocu.ac.tz>

- Castellani, D. & Zanfei, A. (2007). Multinational companies and productivity spillovers: is there a specification error? *Applied Economic Letters*, 14, 1047-1051.
- Chidede, T (2017). African Countries need to attract more and strategic FDI in the manufacturing sector, *TRALAC*, Discussion Paper.
- Chang, R., Kaltani, L., & Loayza, N. (2005). *Openness can be good for growth: The role of policy complementarities*. The World Bank.
- Chen T.J. & Y.H. Ku (2000). "The Effect of Foreign Direct Investment on Firm Growth: The Case of Taiwan's Manufacturers," *Japan and the World Economy*, 12, 2, 153-172.
- De Mello, L. & Sinclair, T. (1995). "Foreign Direct Investment, Joint Ventures, and Endogenous Growth." Department of Economics, University of Kent, United Kingdom.
- Dinh H. T. & C. Monga, (2013). *Light Manufacturing in Tanzania: A Reform Agenda for Job Creation and Prosperity*. World Bank Publications
- Domician, C. (2008). How does Sectoral FDI Enhance Export Earnings?. The Tanzania Experiences. *Tanzania Trade Experts' Association (TRADEXA)*.
- Doaei K and Hosseini S. (2011). Analyzing the impact of customer perception of the value of bran.
- Dunning, J. (1993). *Multinational Enterprises and the Global Economy*. Reading, United Kingdom: Addison-Wesley Publishing Company.
- East African Community (2012). "Industrialisation Strategy 2012-2032". *Structural Transformation of the Manufacturing Sector through High-Value Addition and Product Diversification based on Comparative and Competitive Advantages of the Region*, Arusha.
- Epathra, M. (2016). Foreign Direct Investment and Sectoral Performance in Tanzania, *Journal of Economics and Political Economy* issue 4. Vol 3 (2016).
- Ethier, W. and Henrik H. (1990). "Managerial Control of International Firms and Patterns of Direct Investment," *Journal of International Economics*, 28(1-2), 25-45.
- Fintan, P. (2014). Foreign Direct Investment and Export Performance in Tanzania: A Granger Causality Analysis (1970-2011), *ADRRJ Journal*, Vol. 5, No. 5(2), pp 20-35, February 2014.
- Gamariel, G. & Hove, S (2019). Foreign Direct Investment and Export Competitiveness in Africa: Investigating the Channels. *Journal of African Trade* Vol. 6(1-2); December (2019), pp. 30-46.
- Görg, H. & Strobl, E. (2001). Multinational Companies and Productivity Spillovers: A meta-analysis, *Economic Journal*, 111, F723-F739.
- Goldberg, L.S. & Klein, M.W. (1997). 'Foreign Direct Investment, Trade and Real Exchange Linkages in Southeast Asia and Latin America. National Bureau of Economic Research, Working Paper, 6344. *International Journal of Economics and Finance* Vol. 2, No. 3.
- Graham, E.M. & P. Krugman. (1989). *Foreign Direct Investment in the United States*, Institute for International Economics, Washington, DC.
- Hailu, Z. (2010). Impact of Foreign Direct Investment on Trade of African Countries. *International Journal of Economics and Finance*, Vol. 2, No. August 3, 2010.
- Haddad, M., de Melo, J. & B. Horton. (1996). Morocco, 1984-89: Trade Liberalisation, Exports, and Industrial Performance in Mark, J. R and J.R Tybout (Eds). *Industrial Evolution in Developing Countries: Micro Patterns of Turnover, Productivity, and Market Structure*. Oxford and New York: Oxford University Press.
- Haddad, M & Harrison, A. (1993). Are there positive spillovers from the direct foreign investment? *Journal of Development Economics*, 42(1), 51-74.
- Jeon, Y. (1992). The Determinants of Korean Foreign Direct Investment in Manufacturing Industries, *Weltwirtschaftliches Archive* 128 (3), 527-541.
- Kaplinsky, R. & Morris, M. (2019). Trade and Industrialisation in Africa: SMEs, Manufacturing and Cluster Dynamics. *Journal of African Trade* Vol. 6 (1-2); December (2019), pp. 47-59.



Journal of Co-operative and Business Studies (JCBS)

Vol. 6, Issue 1, April 2021 ISSN: (Online) 2714-2043, (Print) 0856-9037

Full Issue and Text Available at: <http://www.mocu.ac.tz>

- Khan, M. & Ross, K. (1977). The Functional Form of the Aggregate Import Equation, *Journal of International Economics*, 7, 149-160.
- Kugler M. (2006). Does Foreign Investment Facilitate Exports?. Evidence from Venezuelan Manufacturing.
- Kotrajaras, P. (2010). Foreign Direct Investment, International Trade, and Economic Growth: Comparative study between East Asia and World Economy. 2010 IABR & ITLC Conference Proceedings Orlando, FL, USA.
- Lastrapes, W. & Koray, F. (1990). Exchange rate volatility and U.S. multilateral trade Flows, *Journal of Macroeconomics*, 12(3), 134-148.
- Lall, S. & Mohammad. S. (1983). Multinationals in Indian Big Business: Industrial characteristic of foreign investment in a heavily regulated economy. *Journal of Development Economics* 13(1-2):143-157.
- Mbelle, A. (2016). Manufacturing and Transforming in a Developing context. Assessing Policy Response in changing policy paradigm-Tanzania.
- Moran, T.H. (2005). How does FDI affect host country development? Using industry case studies to make reliable generalizations, in Moran T.H., Graham E.M., Blomström M. (Eds.), *Does Foreign Direct Investment Promote Development?* Institute for International Economics and Center for Global Development, Washington, DC, pp. 281–313.
- Moyo, M., Simson, R., Jacob, A., & De Mevius, F. (2012). Attaining middle income status-Tanzania: growth and structural transformation required to reach middle income status by 2025. *London: International Growth Centre, London School of Economics and Political Science*.
- Mundell, R. (1957). International Trade and Factor Mobility. *American Economic Review*, 47, 321-335.
- Ndikumana, L. & Sarr, M. (2016). Capital Flight and Foreign Direct Investment in Africa: An Investigation of the role of natural resource endowment. *WIDER Working Paper* 2016/58.
- Nayyar, D. (1983). International Relocation of Production and Industrialisation in LDCs, *Economic and Political Weekly*, 18: PE 13-26.
- Ngowi. H (2010). The Current Global Economic Crisis and Its Impacts in Tanzania. *African Journal of Business Management*, 4, 1468-1474.
- Ngowi H. (2002). Foreign Direct Investment (FDI). Entry Modes in Tanzania, Types, Driving Forces and Implications.
- Nkolo, E. & Uko, A. (2016). Autoregressive Distributed Lag (ARDL) cointegration technique: application and interpretation. *Journal of Statistical and Econometric Methods*, vol.5, no. 4, 2016, 63—91.
- Dupasquier, C. & Osakwe, P. (2005). Foreign Direct Investment in Africa; Performance, Challenges, and responsibilities. Africa Trade Policy Centre, Work in Progress. N0.21.
- Ozawa, T. (1992). Foreign Direct Investment and Economic Development. *Transnational Corporation*, 1, 27-54.
- Page, J. (2015). "Industry in Tanzania Performance, Prospects and Public Policy" The Brookings Institution, June 2015.
- Pesaran, M. H., Shin, Y., Smith, R. J. (2001). "Bounds Testing Approaches to the Analysis of Level Relationship." *Journal of Applied Econometrics*, 16,(3)289-326.
- Pain, N. & Wakelin K. (1998). Export Performance and the Role of Foreign Direct Investment. *The Manchester School Supplement* 66, 62-88.
- Phongpaichit, P. (1990). The new wave of Japanese Investment in Asia. Singapore: Institute of Southeast Asian Studies.
- Protsenko, A. (2003). Vertical and Horizontal Foreign Direct Investments in Transition Countries.



Journal of Co-operative and Business Studies (JCBS)

Vol. 6, Issue 1, April 2021 ISSN: (Online) 2714-2043, (Print) 0856-9037

Full Issue and Text Available at: <http://www.mocu.ac.tz>

- Sharma, K. (2000). Export Growth in India: Has FDI played a role. Yale University Economic Growth Center, Discussion Paper, No.816. Available online at <http://www.econ.yale.edu/~egcenter/EGCdp3.htm>, accessed on October 10, 2020.
- Sharma, K. (2003). Factors Determining India's Export Performance, *Journal of Asian Economics*, 14 (3), 435-446.
- Shan, J., Tian, G. G., & Sun, F. (1997). The FDI-led Growth Hypothesis: Further Econometric Evidence from China. *The Australian National University, Canberra, MPRA Paper*, (351).Srinivasan, T. N. (1998). India's export performance: A comparative analysis.
- Sutton, J., Jinhage, A., Leape, J., Newfarmer, R. and Page, J (2016). Harnessing FDI for job creation and Industrialisation in Africa. *International Growth Centre, Growth Brief*, May 2016.
- URT (2009). Tanzania Investment Report. United Republic of Tanzania.
- Tanzania Planning Commission Report (2013).
- Kabelwa, G. (2006). Potential Impact of FDI on Economic Development in Tanzania". A paper prepared for a policy Dialogue for Accelerating Growth and Poverty Reduction in Tanzania.
- UNIDO (2013). *Industrial Development Report 2013, Sustaining Employment Growth: The Role of Manufacturing and Structural Change*, Geneva.
- UNIDO (2014). *Tanzania Investor Survey Report, Understanding the impact of domestic and foreign direct investment*.
- Zhang & Song (2000). Promoting Export, the Role of Inward FDI in China. *China Economic Review* 11, 385-396.
- Zhang K.H., (2015). What drives export competitiveness? The role of FDI in Chinese manufacturing, *Contemp. Econ. Policy* 33, 499-512.
- Zhang, K.H. (2006), "How Does FDI Affect a Host Country's Export Performance? The Case of China", Department of Economics, Illinois State University.